

▲ (<u>HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG</u>) > <u>TOOTHFISH (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/TOOTHFISH/</u>) > TOOTHFISH: TRUE OR FALSE?

TOOTHFISH: TRUE OR FALSE?



Statement 1. Toothfish are endangered.

Toothfish are not endangered and never have been.

In a number of regions, stocks were significantly overfished as a result of illegal, unregulated and unreported (IUU) fishing during the period from 1996 – 2004 in particular. All of those stocks are now either in recovery, or have recovered, following positive actions by many at eliminating IUU fishing, and restraining legal allowable catches to sustainable levels. Estimates of IUU catches have reduced from a peak of over 30,000 tonnes in 1997 to less than 1,500

tonnes in 2014. All estimates of IUU catches are included in scientific stock assessments made for stocks of toothfish, to ensure they're taken into account when assessing the sustainability of any level of legal catches.

For more information, see our <u>IUU History (http://www.colto.org/toothfish/iuu-toothfish-</u><u>history/)</u> and <u>IUU Fact Sheet (http://www.colto.org/toothfish-fisheries/iuu/)</u> pages.

Statement 2. Illegal fishing is rampant in toothfish fisheries.

This has not been correct for over a decade.

In the mid to late 1990s and early 2000s there were over 55 IUU vessels fishing illegally for toothfish in the Southern Oceans. Since this time, largely through the success of CCAMLR, national governments, legal industry and conservation NGOs, IUU fishing for toothfish is the lowest it has ever been, with a 2014 estimate of less than 1,500 tonnes taken. Measures taken to eliminate IUU fishing included the introduction of satellite monitoring systems on all legal boats; catch documentation systems to track all legal product; certification and traceability schemes to ensure only legal products entered the main markets; compliance and surveillance activities by national governments and international agencies (such as Interpol); and many other measures.

In this time, IUU fishing for Patagonian toothfish has dropped by 99% as illegal operators have been pushed out of countries' Exclusive Economic Zones and further south on to the high seas, where they now target Antarctic toothfish with gillnets, as IUU fishers. The High Seas regions where *legal* fishers are allowed to operate have controls over IUU fishing (not the least because the legal operators are fishing there, so can provide immediate surveillance and reporting actions to national governments and CCAMLR members), but other regions of the high seas still have some IUU fishers operating. At last estimate in 2014, there are now four IUU fishing boats possibly operating for one trip per year each (a trip is around 60 fishing days).

Unfortunately, due to the international law of the sea, this type of fishing on the high seas without a license from a responsible CCAMLR member nation is not technically illegal; but is definitely unregulated and unreported. Unscrupulous operators have been using the legal 'loophole' to avoid sanctions in recent years, although the advent of Interpol pressure and collaborative actions by governments globally has seen this loophole rapidly disappearing for toothfish IUU operators.

For more information, see our <u>IUU History (http://www.colto.org/toothfish/iuu-toothfish-</u><u>history/)</u> and <u>IUU Fact Sheet (http://www.colto.org/toothfish-fisheries/iuu/)</u> pages.

<u>Statement 3. Toothfish are slow growing, long lived and so can't be sustainably</u> <u>fished.</u>

This is false.

A good measure of the maximum age of a fish species is the age by which 99% of the population has died. This is called relative maximum age. The relative maximum age for both Antarctic and Patagonian toothfish is 35 years, compared with snapper 60 years and hapuku (groper) 46 years). At this point they are generally about 1.7-1.9m in length and grow to over 1.0m in the first 10 years of life. Toothfish grow nearly 30 cm in the first two years of life, and to around 65 cm in the first five years.

Relative maximum ages for some commercial fish species



From the figure it is apparent that in terms of longevity, Toothfish lie very much in the middle of the range – close in fact to blue cod to which they are distantly related. They are not long-lived in comparison to many other temperate species.

Toothfish can be sustainably fished, as long as the scientists take into account the slow growth, and longer life span of the fish, when they are calculating the allowable sustainable catches for the coming year. All of these factors are taken into account, along with many other biological details which are derived from either independent scientific surveys, or fishery-dependent data (e.g. tag and release programs, implemented by government scientific observers, and monitored and assessed by national and CCAMLR scientists). As mentioned earlier, scientists from both national governments, as well as CCAMLR, also take into account estimated IUU catches of toothfish from each separate stock, every year, so that sustainable legal catches will

remain sustainable. That means that any IUU catches will reduce the available legal catches each year, as scientists will deduct those IUU catches from any possible legal fishing, before setting annual allowable catches.

For more information, please see the <u>CCAMLR scientific report pages</u> (<u>http://www.ccamlr.org/en/meetings/27</u>), and our <u>Toothfish fact sheets</u> (<u>http://www.colto.org/toothfish-fisheries/</u>) for specific fisheries.

Statement 4. Legal toothfish fishing kills thousands of seabirds

This is not true.

Toothfish fisheries used to catch a lot of seabirds, until the late 1990's when legal industry operators joined with fishing gear manufacturers, ornithologists, CCAMLR member scientists, and seabird conservation groups to develop seabird mitigation measures in toothfish fisheries. The result was a series of measures designed to avoid the capture of seabirds that is now used internationally in fisheries to demonstrate "World's best practice".

Measures used include streamer lines when setting hooks (to avoid birds grabbing the hooks), integrated weight mainlines which sink rapidly once in the water (again to avoid birds grabbing the hooks); season restrictions to only operate during periods of low seabird abundance; no offal overboard during periods of fishing (or, in some instances, no offal overboard at any time) to avoid attracting seabirds to the boats; and many other measures. This is all monitored by the international scientific observers, carried on board every legal vessel, during every fishing trip made for toothfish.

In 2013, the Chair of the CCAMLR Scientific Committee stated that seabird bycatch in CCAMLR fisheries were at 'near-zero' levels. This compares to estimates of IUU catches of seabirds of up to 140,000 in a single year alone in 1998 and 2002 as they used no seabird mitigation measures when fishing illegally.

For more information please see our <u>Seabirds (http://www.colto.org/seabirds/)</u> page.

Statement 5. Toothfish fishing catches lots of bycatch

This is not true.

Toothfish fishing actually has very low bycatch levels – generally in excess of 90% of the fish caught are toothfish, and some of the bycatch species – particularly skates – are able to be tagged and released, or released alive. All of the toothfish catches, and bycatch, are recorded by both the operators and the independent scientific observer(s) carried on every legal boat, for every fishing trip. The statistics are all provided to CCAMLR, and can be found in <u>CCAMLR</u> <u>Statistical bulletin (http://www.ccamlr.org/en/data/statistical-bulletin)</u> by area, by species, by flag state and many other details such as fishing effort and so forth.

Statement 6. Toothfish fishing reduces the amount of food available for some whales, seals and other large predators

This is not true.

All sustainable allowable catches set for toothfish specifically allow for at least 50% of toothfish populations to avoid being fished. This 50% limit is projected for 35 years and is calculated each new assessment, generally every 2 years. This is designed both to ensure the stocks of toothfish can remain sustainable, but also to take into account the need to leave 'additional' toothfish in the ecosystem to provide adequate food for higher order predators such as toothed whales, sea lions and so forth. There are specific monitoring programs in place to detect changes to the ecosystem and food web.

The <u>CCAMLR decision rules (https://www.ccamlr.org/en/fisheries/setting-catch-limits)</u> for scientific setting of allowable catches explicitly identify this need to provide for the ecosystem impacts of fishing.

Statement 7. Toothfish fisheries are not sustainable

This is not true.

Toothfish fisheries have gone through an extraordinary change in a relatively short amount of time. From the commercial toothfish fisheries being discovered and governed by CCAMLR in the early 1990s, then the influx of IUU fishing in the late 1990s until the mid-2000s, to the then recovery phase of the mid-to-late 2000s once IUU fishing had been eliminated from within national EEZs.

The scientists from 25 member nations of CCAMLR oversight all assessments of toothfish fisheries, and scientific observers oversight all the legal fishing activities and information gathering. This information is used to help better understand toothfish stocks, as well as to monitor the health of those stocks over time, and ensure they remain sustainable.

Those toothfish fisheries which were particularly badly impacted by IUU fishing, are still in a 'recovery' phase, with allowable catches that are set deliberately low to help facilitate rapid recovery of those stocks, while at the same time being able to collect data on the stock to be able to assess it. In addition, other fisheries have recovered mostly, or fully, from the IUU activities and are now independently recognised and verified as sustainable.

In the last decade, six toothfish fisheries, totalling over 60% the world's toothfish catch have been independently certified as sustainable and well managed by the Marine Stewardship Council. More information on those fisheries can be found on the <u>MSC website</u> (<u>http://www.msc.org/search?</u>

facet=true&fq=portal_type%3A%22Fisheries%22&SearchableText=toothfish).

Other independent scientific programs have also reviewed and rated toothfish fisheries as sustainable, including the <u>Monterey Bay Aquarium Seafood Watch program</u> (<u>http://www.seafoodwatch.org/seafood-recommendations/groups/chilean-seabass?</u> <u>q=toothfish</u>); <u>AMCS (http://www.sustainableseafood.org.au/fish.php/1/69/patagonian-toothfish#show</u>); <u>OceanWise (http://www.oceanwise.ca/seafood/chilean-sea-bass/patagonian-toothfish-chilean-seabass</u>), plus many others.

SHARE THIS:

Share 2



COPYRIGHT © 2015 COALITION OF LEGAL TOOTHFISH OPERATORS INC. (https://twitter.com/COLTO_Inc) (https://plus.google.com/112722844478300857558/posts) ABOUT US (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/ABOUT-US/) FISHERIES (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/TOOTHFISH-FISHERIES/) TOOTHFISH (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/TOOTHFISH-FISHERIES/) COLLABORATION (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/TOOTHFISH-COLLABORATION/) LATEST NEWS (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/CATEGORY/TOOTHFISH-NEWS/) RECIPES (HTTPS://WWW.COLTO.ORG/TOOTHFISH-RECIPES/)